## Map 101 *(not population balanced)*

Hello, Here is a district map submission.

## Maps 102 – 105

***No comments provided with submitted maps. Map 104 is not population balanced.***

## Map 106
### *(Only 4 districts. Not population balanced))*

I created this map by taking the last 3 election data from precincts and connected the precincts that vote similarly together in districts. This is in response to questions and concerns raised as to how to best have citizen voices represented.

## Map 107

5 DistrictsLegal - Populations are close to recommended amounts.  District 5 is largest due to River acting as Northern barrier preventing future development. District 2 and 3 are slightly below due to the new developments not reflected in latest census .- All Districts have close to 70% voting age population.Addresses Council Concerns - No At-Large Mayor- Two members representing Downtown  Each Get one half of the Arch Addresses Community Concerns - School Designation taken into account.- District 5 includes all lakewaterfront properties  for environmental concerns.

## Map 108

I attempted to get four downtown districts  two with a majority Latino population.

## Map 109
### *(Only 4 districts. Not population balanced)*

This map offers four district  plus one city-wide elected mayor  that are exceptionally balanced in both population and demographics. The map lines are clearly drawn  with no ambiguity or gerrymandering resulting in clean districts with recognizable names.

## Map 110

Legal Requirements 1 All districts fall within the desired population range 2 All districts are based upon communities of interest  Elementary school designation in this case . Due to public and council comments the guiding principle in this district map was the creation multiple districts with a piece of downtown Districts 2  3 and 5 . Because of Downtowns northeastern location and relatively small size  creating Downtown representation for western neighborhoods Districts 1 and 4 seemed like enormous stretches especially as Ham Lane also acts a natural line between the West 95242  West  and  95240  East  area codes. Each of the three proposed districts with pieces of downtown contains multiple points of interest District 2 Movie Theater  Five Window Brewery  Teen Center  and the eventual Bowling Alley. District 3  West Side School Street  Lodi Beer Company  City government buildings.District 5  East Side School Street  Idol Beer Works  WoW Science Museum. Aside from giving downtown multiple representatives  the next priority was the grouping of families based upon elementary school designation.

## Map 111
### *(Only 4 districts. Not population balanced)*

Just for fun  here s my two-cents.

## Map 112

A revised plan using five districts. This district map is clean  simple  friendly  and fair. All five districts have nearly the same population  approx. 12k each  or max dev of 5.3% . I started by dividing the city along income level lines  then adjusted to make the population equal.

## Map 113
### *(Only 4 districts.)*

This plan includes 4 Districts  with an at-large mayor . The plan is generally split by direction  North  South  East and West.

## Map 114

Legal Requirements Met Population sizes of districts are similar. Lines are drawn to split a primary community of interest  Downtown  between three representatives. These district lines are drawn to group together neighborhoods based upon where they send their children to school. Schools play an enormous role in our city  as parents interact with each other based primarily upon their childrens school activities  and the school district is the 1 employer in Lodi. The only time that a neighborhood is broken up is when the guiding legal requirement of equal population  and the councils desire to have multiple downtown representatives take precedent. The reason for three downtown representatives instead of four or five is because of downtowns northeast location and relatively small size  giving western  especially Southwestern neighborhoods a slice of downtown seemed like an enormous stretch.

## Map 115

To meet legal requirements  the districts are within the desired range for a five-district map. Each district contains land for future development population growth. The districts in this model are designed around the guiding principle of giving our citys downtown  community of interest  multiple representatives. The railroad is another point of interest  shared by three districts. Downtown split is made at School Street  East  West and Pine Street  North  South .

## Map 116

All Districts are within the desired population range. The industrial area is split between three districts.The downtown area is split down the middle of School Street between two representatives  Mayor may act as the thirddeciding vote foragainst in some cases .

## Map 117

Drawn by NDC, Map 117 has 3 districts in downtown and all five districts cross Lodi Ave. The districts run from north to south across the city and are quite compact, except for the "arm" on District 4 that picks up downtown and Councilmember Chandler. No Councilmembers are paired in this map.

## Map 118

Drawn by NDC, Map 118 brings all five districts into downtown to ensure the entire Council is engaged in, and accountable to, the downtown region. It manages this while only creating one pair of Councilmembers (Chandler and Johnson in District 2).

## Map 119

Map 101 with minor revisions to make the population balanced within 1% deviation.

## MALDEF 1

MALDEF submitted a letter threatening litigation if no majority-Latino by CVAP district is drawn, and accompanied their letter with this map District 4 in the MALDEF map is identical to District 5 in map 117, except MALDEF takes the district completely out of downtown (and thus under-populates the district by 4%).

## Map 105b

Relatively straightforward importing of MALDEF's District 4 into Map 105, adding zero-population downtown areas to that District 4, and then balancing population among the remaining districts, attempting to preserve the goals of the original 105 as much as possible.

## Map 108b

Relatively straightforward importing of MALDEF's District 4 into Map 108, adding zero-population downtown areas to that District 4, and then balancing population among the remaining districts, attempting to preserve the goals of the original 108 as much as possible.

## Map 117b

A revision to map 117 incorporating the MALDEF-proposed changes to what is now District 4, but with zero-population blocks of downtown added to MALDEF's proposed District 4 to achieve the city's goal of having as many Councilmembers as possible include some part of downtown.

## Map 117c

Map 117b with a rotation that brings District 3 into downtown. That is population balanced by brining District 5 farther west at the south end of the city, and D2 picks up the "foot" of D3 that previously crossed Ham Lane at the south end of town.

## Map 118b

A revision to map 118 incorporating the MALDEF-proposed changes to what is now District 4, but with zero-population blocks of downtown added to MALDEF's proposed District 4 to achieve the city's goal of having as many Councilmembers as possible include some part of downtown (in this map, all five have a part of downtown). Also, Councilmember Chandler's Census Block and a couple of neighboring blocks are moved from D2 to D1, so that the two Councilmembers paired are on the same election year, giving each the option to run (even though only one can be elected). Now Councilmembers Nakanishi and Chandler are paired, instead of Councilmembers Chandler and Johnson. [That change can be undone if it is not desirable.]

## Map 119b

Relatively straightforward importing of MALDEF's District 4 into Map 119, adding zero-population downtown areas to that District 4, and then balancing population among the remaining districts, attempting to preserve the goals of the original 119 as much as possible.

## Map 120

Each district touches the downtown core.Districts 3  4 and 5 will have the greatest population growth change in the 2020 census and district lines can be move laterally to fit change. District 3 is low but along with 5 will have the housing growth to make up the difference.

### Map 105c

This is a reworked version of map 105.I increased District 2 s Latino Voting Age Population from 41% to 44%.

### Map 108c

I made some changes to Map 108.

### Map 121

I reviewed the 4  chosen maps  and wanted to see if I could layout a 5-district map that was better than the ones  chosen.

### Map 119c

Revised version of 119 with Downtown represented in 3 districts. Also  each district is designed to consist of like neighborhoods grouped geographically and in terms of property values and age of neighborhood.

### Map 117d

I would like to thank the creator of Map 117 for reminding me what gerrymandering looks like. Hopefully this map fixes map 117. It even increases District 5's Latino Voting Age Population to 50 percent.

### Map 118c

This is Map 118 with some tweaks.

### Map 105d

I want to keep the Heritage section as one section.

### Map 117e

This version is based on 117.  I tried to make it geometrically more balanced.

### Map 105e

This map  submitted on February 8  is a modification of Map 105 that failed short of a 50% Latino CVAP goal. In addition to creating a district that is 50.1% Latino CVAP  three districts  including the majority Latino district  all have representation in Downtown Lodi. Population deviation in these five districts range from -1.6% to 1.2%. This map was generated by a Lodi resident of 22 years.

### Map 119d

Revised 119 map. Benefits 3 districts in Downtown51.6% Latino CVAP in District 45 councilmembers in 4 separate districts.

### Map 119e

119 Revised  version 2. Benefits 3 districts in Downtown50.1% Latino CVAP in District 4Councilmembers spread among 4 districts.

### Map 108d

Move the District 1 in downtown west 1 block, to School Street.

### Map 117f

Move the border in downtown 1 block to School St, instead of following Church St.

### Map 108e

Paper map submission. No comments provided.

### Map 105g

Satisfies MALDEF request and creates geometric districts.

### Map 108e

Request from [name redacted by NDC].

### Map 117g

Revised Map 117.The Latino Citizen Voting Age Population is above 50% in District 5. District 1 completely unchanged. District 2 is more centralized. No member is force to go head-to-head or move.

### Map 117h

Latino Voting age population in District five reached 50%.Each member gets their own district.

### Map 119f

Revised 119 with 50% Latino CVAP in District 4. 3 districts in Downtown. Northwest corner remains unchanged.